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##### 1. Evaluation Criteria

1.1 Technical Criteria

Goods and related services provided by the bidder shall have to comply with technical level of Section 6 (Schedule of Supply). Whenever possible, these criteria should be evaluated on the comprehensive scoring system which is stipulated in the bidding document.

The project shall adopt the evaluation method of comprehensive evaluation method. For details, please refer to 3. Bid Evaluation Method at the end of this section.

1.2 Economic Criteria

**1.2.1 Adjustment for Scope**

1.2.1.1 Local Handling and Inland Transportation

During bid evaluation, the costs for inland transportation, insurance, and other services within the Purchaser’s country incidental to delivery of the goods to their final destination, the bidder shall quote for these services as part of their bid price in the Price Schedule for Related Services to Be Offered from Outside and Within the Purchaser’s Country provided in Section 4 (Bidding Forms).

1.2.1.2 Minor Omissions or Missing Items

The used method to the minor omissions or missing items in the scope of supply, services, etc. is to use the criteria stipulated in Section 3 (Evaluation and Qualification Criteria).

**1.2.2 Adjustment for Deviations from the Terms of Payment**

The bidder shall quote the price according to the terms of payment as specified in SCC 16.1

**1.2.3 Adjustment for Deviations in the Delivery and Completion Schedule**

Bidders are required to base their prices on the Delivery and Completion Schedule specified in Section 6 (Schedule of Supply).

1.2.4 Spare Parts

Only those spare parts and tools that are specified on an item-wise basis in the List of Goods and Related Services in Section 6 (Schedule of Supply), shall be taken into account in the bid evaluation. Supplier-recommended spare parts for a specified operating requirement shall not be considered in bid evaluation.

##### \*2. Qualification Criteria

The legal entity or entities comprising the Bidder, and not the Bidder’s parent companies, subsidiaries, or affiliates, must satisfy the qualification criteria described below. **If any of the qualification criteria are not met, the bid will be rejected as non-responsive.**

**2.1 Eligibility and Pending Litigation**

**2.1.1 Eligibility**

**2.1.1.1** The bidder be shall an independent legal entity registered according to law and also shall have the nationality of an eligible country, in accordance with Section 5 (Eligible Countries). The domestic bidders shall be in business for no less than 5 years (date on business license shall prevail), and the bidder shall provide copies of valid business license in the bid. The foreign bidder shall be in business for no less than 5 years and the bidder shall provide the copies of business license or other documentary evidence for the date of their legal registration with the bid.

Document submission requirements: Section 4 Forms ELI -1 (Bidder Information Form) and related supporting documents.

**2.1.1.2 Conflict of Interest**

According to ITB Sub-clause 4.3, a bidder shall not have a conflict of interest. All Bidders found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified.

**2.1.1.3 Government-Owned Enterprise**

The bidder shall meet the conditions of ITB Sub-clause 4.5

Document submission requirements: Section 4 Forms ELI -1 (Bidder Information Sheet).

**2.1.1.4 United Nations Eligibility**

The bidder shall not have been excluded by United Nations Security Council resolutions in accordance with ITB Sub-clause 4.8.

**2.1.2 Pending Litigation**

**2.1.2.1 Pending Litigation and Arbitration**

All pending litigation and arbitration, if any, shall be treated as resolved against the Bidder and so shall in total not represent more than 50 percent of the Bidder’s net worth calculated as the difference between total assets and total liabilities.

Document submission requirements: Section 4 Form LIT-1 (Pending Litigation and Arbitration).

**2.2 Contractual Experience**

**2.2.1 Contractual Experience**

The bidder shall have completed at least three similar medical equipment procurement contracts in China which are financed by international financial institution or foreign government loan since 2019 (the date of Notification of Award shall prevail) and each contract amount shall not be less than EUR 5 million or the equivalent value; among which, at least one of them are similar medical equipment procurement contracts financed by German Promotional Loan.

The copies (the originals shall be ready for inspection. ) of the corresponding proof materials with the stamp of the bidder’s seal shall be provided in the bid: notification of award, key pages of contract (Notes: the copies of contract provided in the bid shall include the contract pages to indicate the following content: date of the contract, name and content of the project, contract amount and signatures of the contract parties, page of the equipment (price information can be deleted ), the employer’s contact information, Final Acceptance Certificate for the project.

Document submission requirements: Section 4 Form EXP - 1 (Contractual Experience) and the relevant supporting documents.

**2.3 Financial Situation**

**2.3.1 Historical Financial Performance**

The bidder shall submit financial report and financial statements which audited by a third party authority for the last 3 years (2021-2023) to demonstrate the current soundness of the Bidder’s financial position. As a minimum, the bidder’s net worth for each year from 2021 to 2023 calculated as the difference between total assets and total liabilities shall be positive.

Document submission requirements: Section 4 Form FIN-1 (Historical Financial Performance) and related supporting documents

**2.3.2 Size of Operation (Average Annual Turnover)**

The average annual turnover of medical equipment sales shall not be less than EUR 15 million or equivalent value within the last three years (2021-2023). The copies of corresponding proof materials shall be provided in the bid: (1) the financial report and financial statements which audited by a third party authority for the last 3 years (2021-2023); or (2) the bidder provide the documents which have been public audited (shall be signed by the accountant or the director) or notarized by a notary office to prove that the bidder meets the annual turnover of the above mentioned average annual turnover of medical equipment sales, and the corresponding supply contract information shall be kept for reference.

Document submission requirements: Section 4 Form FIN-2 (Size of Operation (Average Annual Turnover)) and related supporting documents.

**2.3.3 Cash Flow Capacity**

Availability of or access to liquid assets, lines of credit, and other finances sufficient to meet cash flow requirement which is EUR 10 million or equivalent value.

Document Submission Requirements: Section 4 Form FIN-3 (Cash Flow Capability).

**2.4 Others**

2.4.1 The bidder shall provide copies of valid quality management system certificate with bidder’s official seal stamped of ISO9000 series certification or similar certificate of quality management system of equivalent level;

2.4.2 The domestic bidder shall provide the copy of valid “Medical Instrument Business Enterprise Permit” with official seal stamped in its bid.

2.4.3 The bidder shall submit the original of power of attorney and the copy of ID of the authorized person (authorized person’s original ID will be checked before bid opening). The power of attorney shall be signed by legal person and stamped with the bidder’s seal, otherwise, it will be regarded as invalid;

2.4.4 In the case of a bidder offering to supply the goods of the contract which the bidder does not manufacturer or produce, according to the requirements in Section 6, the bidder has to be duly authorized by the goods’manufacturer or the agent (in the case of the letter of authority is provided by the agent, the letter of authority from the original manufacturer to the agent shall be provided at the same time) to supply the goods in this bidding project, the letter of authority shall be original and along with Manufacturer or Agent Qualification Statement (please see Section 4 for the format of Manufacturer Qualification Statement, Agent Qualification Statement format can refer to the Manufacturer Qualification Statement format ) and other documentary evidence required by the bidding documents.

2.4.5 The bidder shall provide original certificate issued by their bank of deposit within 3 months prior to the bid opening date certifying their financial status and reputable credit status;

2.4.6 The bidder’s bank of deposit shall issue the Line of Credit only for this package of no less than EUR 3 million or equivalent value in RMB. The date of the issuance of the line of credit for this package project shall not earlier than 3 months before the bid opening date. The original Line of Credit certificate shall be provided in the bid as well. If it is not the original, but a color scanned copy, it will not be accepted during the bid evaluation.

2.4.7 Bidder shall not only commit to abide by Law of the People’s Republic of China on Tenders and Bids, decree No. 1 2014 issued by Ministry of Commerce, and other laws and regulations on foreign government loan; but also obey the state laws, administrative regulations, and keep good reputation and professional ethics.

2.4.8 Bidder shall guarantee that products and services provided have all needed license of export (Statements provided by Bidder shall be stamped with company seal);

2.4.9 Bidder shall provide after-sales service of the project. Foreign bidder shall provide evidence that it will be represented by an Agent in China.;

2.4.10 In recent years (2009 to now), the bidder shall not have any illegal or irregular behavior/record like receiving criticism in written form or be sanctioned by the relevant government or competent authorities during the bidding activity in projects financed by foreign government or international financial institution loan, meanwhile, the bidder shall not have any cases of termination of contract for reasons attributable to the bidder. If the bidder fails to disclose the circumstances above, the bid will be rejected (please see Section 4 for the format of statement);

2.4.11 All parties involved in a procurement process of financial cooperation financing project must ensure a fair and transparent competition and comply with applicable labour laws and the Core Labour Standards of the International Labour Organization (ILO). The Bidder is required to submit a Declaration of Undertaking (Please see Section 4 for the format). The Declaration of Undertaking must be signed in a legally binding manner by duly authorized representatives of the bidder and stamped by company seal. Failure to submit this declaration and non-compliance with the requirements and the format will lead to exclusion from the tender. In addition, the bidder shall take full responsibility for the authenticity of declaration content, the bid will be rejected if any non-compliance with the facts found and determined by the bid evaluation committee during the evaluation process.

**3. Bid Evaluation Method**

**Preliminary Examination, Evaluation and Comparison of Bids**

* 1. The Bid Evaluation Committee will firstly examine the bids to determine whether they are complete, whether the bids are generally in order, whether the bid security have been furnished, whether the documents have been properly signed, and whether the bidder is eligible according to the requirements in ITB (Instruction to Bidders) clause as in Section 1 and Section 2 before the detailed examination. And determine the substantial responsiveness of each bid to the bidding documents. For purposes of these Clauses, a substantially responsive bid is one which conforms to the terms and conditions of the bidding documents without material deviations. Deviation from, or objections or reservations to critical provisions marked with “\*” will be deemed to be a material deviation. The Bid Evaluation Committee’s determination of a bid’s responsiveness is to be based on the true and correct content of the bid itself without recourse to extrinsic evidence, unless the bid contains untrue and incorrect contents.
  2. **The bid will be rejected as non-responsive, if it is found having any of the following during commercial evaluation:**

(1)The bid is not accompanied with a bid security, or, in the case the submitted bid security is of fewer amount than required, or of shorter validity than required, or not conforming to the requirements of Bidding Documents in its form and issuing bank;

(2)The bid is not signed page by page;

(3)The Bidder and the Manufacturer have Conflict of interest with the End-user and Tendering Agent;

(4)The Bid Form or Qualification Documents are not submitted or not in compliance with the requirements of bidding document;

(5)The bid has not been signed by the Bidder’s Legal Person, or by the person or persons with a Power of Attorney issued by the said Legal Person;

(6)The experience of the Bidder does not meet the requirements in the Bidding Documents;

(7)The bid validity offered by the Bidder is shorter than that stipulated in the Bidding Documents;

(8)The bid does not meet any requirement of clause marked with “\*” in the commercial part of the bidding documents, and

* 1. **The bid will be rejected as non-responsive, if it is found having any of the following during technical evaluation:**

(1)The bid does not meet any main specifications with the mark of asterisk (\*) in the Technical Specifications of the bidding document, or the main specifications with the mark of asterisk (\*) are not accompanied by the supporting technical information (the supporting technical information shall be the printed materials published by the manufacturer or the original technical description with the official seal of the manufacturer (or its subsidiaries in China) or the test report issued by the testing institution; If the information is inconsistent, the test report issued by the testing institution shall prevail);

(2)The Bidder fails to secure a technical weighted score of 45 and above.

(3)The Bidder fails to provide the valid Registration Certificate of Medical Device of the People's Republic of China for any product (if applicable). The requirements for the Registration Certificate of Medical Device of the People's Republic of China have been specified in Section 6.

(4)For the equipment in Weight Group 1 and Weight Group 2, if there is 1 or more items (NOT cumulative quantity of items) being rated by the bid evaluation committee as showing “non-compliance”, or there is 2 or more items (NOT cumulative quantity of items) being rated by the bid evaluation committee as showing “Major Deviation”, the entire package shall be considered technically disqualified.

(5)For the equipment in Weight Group 3, if there is 5 or more items (NOT cumulative quantity of items) being rated by the bid evaluation committee as showing “non-compliance”, the entire package shall be considered technically disqualified.

* 1. The Bid Evaluation Committee will evaluate and compare the bids which have been determined to be substantially responsive in accordance with the following evaluation criteria:

This part of the Evaluation will follow a comprehensive scoring method, taking into account the compliance with the technical specifications as well as the financial and commercial aspect and the quality of the bid. The Evaluation includes four parts i.e. Technical Evaluation, Price Evaluation, Commercial Evaluation and Others (please see below for details). The distribution of weighting is described as follow:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Description** | **Technical Weight** | |
| Part A | Technical Evaluation | 60% | G1 group: 40% |
| G2 group: 15% |
| G3 group: 5% |
| Part B | Price Evaluation | 30% | |
| Part C | Commercial and Other Comparison | 10% | |
| **Total** |  | **100%** | |

**Note: the bid evaluation committee will evaluate Part A and C during the technical and commercial bid evaluation stage; and then evaluate Part B.**

**Comprehensive Evaluation Calculation Method**

**Total= T×P + P1×P +C&O×P**

T=Score of Technical Evaluation

P1=Score of Price Evaluation

C&O=Score of Commercial & Others Evaluation

P=Proportion

**If one of the following is found in any bid, the bid will be rejected:**

A. Any material deviation from the critical terms and conditions or material deviation resulting from modification made to the critical terms and conditions, or

B. Any material deviation from the critical terms and conditions resulting from modification made to the general terms and conditions, or

C. In case of terms added by the bidder results in a material deviation from the critical terms and conditions.

**Evaluation criteria:**

**Part A Technical part (60%)**

The total technical points of will be determined by adding points of each equipment divided by the cumulative quantity of equipment, then multiply weighting. The formula for Part A is described below:

**Group 1 Average score (C1) = ∑ (score of a Group 1 item x quantity of this item) / accumulative quantity of all Group 1 items**

**Group 2 Average score (C2) = ∑ (score of a Group 2 item x quantity of this item) / accumulative quantity of all Group 2 items**

**Group 3 Average score (C3) = ∑ (score of a Group 3 item x quantity of this item) / accumulative quantity of all Group 3 items**

**Weighted score of Part A =C1 x Weight (40%)+C2 x Weight (15%) + C3 x Weight (5%)**

As the technical content is one of the major factors of the tender, bids **MUST** fulfil the following minimum requirements:

1）All equipment offered by the any bidder shall comply with the following requirements:

a) All items MUST comply with any key parameters marked with asterisk (“\*”) specified in the Technical Specifications Forms of the bidding document. All such parameters marked with asterisk (“\*”) shall be supported by technical documentary evidence. Technical documentary evidence shall be consisted of published documents which were released by manufacturer of the offered goods or the original technical description with the official seal of the manufacturer (or its subsidiaries in China) or the test report issued by an inspection authority. If the information is inconsistent, the test report shall prevail. Otherwise, the technical bid will be regarded as non-responsive to the bidding document, and that the bid will be rejected

b) During the process of the technical evaluation to the bids, for the equipment belong to this package:

**Weight Group 1**: for the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) , if not more than 5% of the above parameters do not comply with the parameters specified for the equipment, it belongs to a minor deviation; more than 5% but not more than 10% is a moderate deviation; more than 10% but not more than 25% is a major deviation; more than 25% of the unmarked asterisk (\*) deviation is non-compliant.

**Weight Group 2 and Group 3**: for the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) , if not more than 10% of the above parameters do not comply with the parameters specified for the equipment, it belongs to a minor deviation; more than 10% but not more than 20% is a moderate deviation; more than 20% but not more than 30% is a major deviation; more than 30% of the unmarked asterisk (\*) deviation is non-compliant.

1. For any bid which fails to secure a weighted score of **45 and above** in Part A (Technical Evaluation), the bid shall be considered technically disqualified and shall be rejected to enter into next evaluation procedure.
2. In the process of Part A (Technical Evaluation), for the equipment in Weighting Group 1 and Weighting Group 2, If there be 1 (inclusive) or more items (NOT cumulative quantity of items) being rated by the bid evaluation committee as showing “non-compliance”, or there be 2 or more items (NOT cumulative quantity of items) being rated by the bid evaluation committee as showing “Major Deviation”, the entire package shall be considered technically disqualified.
3. In the process of Part A (Technical Evaluation), for the equipment in Weighting Group 3, If there be 5 (inclusive) or more items (NOT cumulative quantity of items) being rated by the bid evaluation committee as showing “non-compliance”, the entire package shall be considered technically disqualified.

**The features to be evaluated for technical part are generally defined below:**

All items offered by any bidder, MUST comply with all parameters specified in the TSF and terms and conditions specified in the General Technical Conditions and has included all accessories requested in the specifications.

The principles and definitions of scoring in technical evaluation are illustrated in detail as below:

| **Score** | **Description of Definitions** |
| --- | --- |
| Compliant  1 | Item offered **meets all requirements** in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) and conditions specified in the General Technical Conditions and has **included all accessories** requested in the specifications.  And all product supply configuration and components described in the Scope of Supply form are in line with which they have described in the Technical Specifications Form. |
| Minor Deviation  0.75 | 1) **Weight Group 1**: not more than 5% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions.  2) **Weight Group 2 and Group 3**: not more than 10% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions. |
| Moderate Deviation  0.5 | 1) **Weight Group 1** : more than 5% but not more than 10% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions.  2) **Weight Group 2 and Group 3**: more than 10% but not more than 20% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions. |
| Major Deviation  0.25 | An Item which does not include **ANY** of the following documents **BOTH** in English or Chinese will be regarded as major deviation:  (a) Product catalogue, technical data sheet and technical documentation from the manufacturers.  (b) Scope of Supply Form  2) **Weight Group 1** : more than 10% but not more than 25% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions.  3) **Weight Group 2 and Group 3**: more than 20% but not more than 30% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions. |
| Non - Compliant  0 | 1) **Weight Group 1**: more than 25% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions.  2) **Weight Group 2 and Group 3**: more than 30% of the parameters of any equipment specified in the Technical Specifications Form (TSF) which are not marked with an asterisk (\*) do not comply with the the following requirements:  (a) To comply with technical parameters specified in TSF  (b) To furnish a clause-by-clause commentary as specified in the relevant clauses of the General Technical Conditions.  3)The product supply information written in the Scope of supply form is inconsistent with what is written in the TSF. The relevant item will be regarded as NON-RESPONSIVE to the requirements of the bidding documents; therefore it will be scored 0.  4) If any of the main unit, major components and/or accessory required in the technical specification sheet (TSF) is not included or offered by the bidder in the TSF, the relevant item will be regarded as NON-RESPONSIVE to the TSF’s requirements, therefore it will be scored 0.  5) Item which does not include TSF **either** in English or Chinese will be evaluated as non-compliant. |

1. All items offered by eligible bidders will be assessed and rated with reference to the above definitions and scores.

For any bid which fails to secure a weighted score of **45 and above** in Part A (Technical Evaluation), the bid shall be considered technically disqualified and shall be rejected to enter into next evaluation procedure.

**Part B Price Evaluation (30%)**

1. Price will be evaluated according to following steps: arithmetic correction, price calculation after statement of bid (discount/price rise), and price scoring.
2. Bid evaluation shall comply with low price and economical principles. Effective bid with lowest bid price will be granted with full marks of price evaluation, i.e. 30 points. Score of bid price for other bidders will be calculated per following formula:

**Score of Bid Price = (corrected lowest price / respective evaluated bid price) × 30% × 100**

The utmost score and the least score of evaluated bid price will be 30 points and 0 point respectively. The scoring of other prices shall be between 30 and 0.

1. If there is any incomplete or missing item in the bidder’s price sheet, the following principle shall be adopted:
2. Missing item is not allowed.
3. If the quantity in the price sheet is not in accordance with the Bidding Documents, this bidder’s price shall be adjusted to the same quantity as the Bidding Documents with the unit price of the bidder.
4. The Total bid Price shall not include any item beyond the requirement of the bidding document, otherwise, the offer on such item will not be deducted when evaluated.
5. Arithmetical errors will be rectified on the following basis. If there is a Discrepancy between the unit price and the total price that is obtained by multiplying the unit price and quantity, the unit price shall prevail, and the total price shall be corrected. If there is discrepancy between words and figures, the amount in words will prevail. If the bidder does not accept the correction of the errors, its bid will be rejected.

If bid evaluation committee consider bid price is impractical low, bid evaluation committee will ask bidder to provide a written detailed price sheet. After reviewing the detailed price sheet, bid evaluation committee still reasonably suspect if bidder can provide qualified equipment and perform service based on the price it submitted and risk of executing the contract, the bid will be rejected.

If bid evaluation committee consider unit price of an item is impractical low, bid evaluation committee will ask bidder to provide a written detailed price sheet. After reviewing the detailed price sheet, bid evaluation committee still reasonably suspect if bidder can provide qualified equipment and perform service based on the price it submitted and risk of executing the contract, an item will be rejected.

For convenience, in price evaluation, the unit price of an item and service will be replaced by the highest unit price of same an item in all bidders’ and counted in the total bid price.

**Part C Commercial and Other Comparison (10%)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Description** | **Score** |
| **1** | Litigation (2009-the deadline for bid submission)  The Bidders will be graded based on the status of their involvement in litigation. The bidder should submit a statement. The standard of the gradings are set out below:  (a) no involvement in litigation or no loss record=3  (b) has record of losing litigation or enforced by the court=0  If the statement is not provided or if a conditional statement is provided or if the records in the statement are incomplete, 0 points will be awarded for this item | 3 |
| **2** | The Bid Evaluation Committee will base its scoring on the degree of compliance with the Comprehensive Training Program Form and the Product Guarantee Service Form:  a) Better than or meets all terms in both forms = 2  b) Better than or meets all provisions in either form = 1  c) Deviation from the terms in both forms = 0 | 2 |
| **3** | Record of corruption, fraud, administrative punishment, collusion of bid-rigging (2009-the deadline for bid submission) The bidder should submit a statement.  The Bidders will be graded based on the status of their involvement in bid-rigging. The bidder should submit a statement. The standard of the gradings are set out below:  (a) No record of corruption, fraud, administrative punishment, collusion of bid-rigging=3  (b) Has record of corruption, fraud, administrative punishment, collusion of bid-rigging =0  If the statement is not provided or if a conditional statement is provided or if the records in the statement are incomplete, 0 points will be awarded for this item | 3 |
| **4** | Project implementation capacity:  To evaluate the project performance of bidders as Tender winner who won the bids, signed contracts, executed and completed medical equipment projects in China that financed by foreign government loan or international financing institutions loan from 2009 till now, the amount of a single contract shall not be less than 4 million euros or equivalent. (Relevant proof shall be presented as follows: 1. Copies of Notification of Award; and 2. Copies of supply contract include home page, price page, signature page; and 3. copies of Final Acceptance Certification issued by the End-user shall be provided. All the aforesaid materials should be issued after January 1st, 2009, and the contact information of the End-user shall be provided for verification.) | Ranking according to the number of the quantity of medical equipment procurement contracts:  a) No.1 bidder =2  b) No.2 bidder =1  c) No.3 bidder =0.5  d) No.4 bidder and below=0 |
|  | **Total** | **10** |

The committee will give the same score to all bidders who demonstrate the same or equivalent capability in the relevant criteria above. Should there be any 2 bidders being given the same score, the next bidder will be given the next score in sequence.